

| | | HOUSING GROUP | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| No | Indicator | 2021 / 22 | 2022 / 23 | April to June 2023 | April to Sept 2023 | April to December 2023 | April to March 2024 | Annual Trend | Target | | | |
| | | | FINANCE | | | | | | | | | |
| | oup's operating surplus is £14.6m and is £3.8m adverse to budge ity and gas and reduced stock as a result of lease terminations. O | | | | | | | | elating to | | | |
| Be fina | ncially strong | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Operating Margin | 14% | 15.1% | 14.7% | 13.3% | 13.2% | 12.2% | Ψ. | 14.6% | | | |
| 2 | Concert Living annual profit / loss before tax and interest (fcast) | £259,000 | £675,725 | £461,411 | -£340,352 | -£919,753 | -£1,177,728 | 4 | £837,750 | | | |
| 3 | Headline social housing cost per unit (RSH definition) | £5,866 | £6.812 | £7,358 | £7,534 | £7,473 | £7,563 | , | £7,326 | | | |
| 3a | General needs and independent living cost per unit | £4,237 | £4,135 | £4,734 | £4,794 | £4,778 | £4,799 | , | £4,755 | | | |
| 3b | Supported Living cost per unit | £9,879 | £10,877 | £11,803 | £12,234 | £12,048 | £12,241 | V | £11,417 | | | |
| | | CUSTOME | RS & COMM | UNITIES | | | | | | | | |
| rate Co | amnlainte roenondod in timoecalo ie not achieving target hut ie ac | iain showing a | rica thic auar | ter A number | of actions to in | nnrove nerforn | nance in this | area were rec | w response | | | |
| | emplaints responded in timescale is not achieving target but is age customer satisfaction | jain showing a | rise this quar | ter. A number | of actions to ir | nprove perforn | nance in this | area were rec | | | | |
| Improve | | yain showing a | rise this quar | ter. A number | of actions to in | nprove perforn 83.6% | 84.4% | area were rec | | | | |
| Improve 4 5 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction | | 80.1% 59.1% | 81.6% 88.9% | 85.6% 88.9% | 83.6% 77.4% | 84.4% 78.8% | | 89.5% 85.0% | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% | ↑ ↑ ↓ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% | ↑ ↑ ↓ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% | ↑ ↑ ↓ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 8 Have a | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 8 Have a | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% | | | |
| 1mprove 4 5 6 7 8 Have a 9 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% | | | |
| 1mprove 4 5 6 7 8 Have a 9 10 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision Outcome STAR % change by Key Unlocking | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% 86 12.1% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 12.5% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% 37.8% 86 9.8% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% 86 11.5% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 11.2% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% 86 10.1% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% >30% 86 Positive | | | |
| 1mprove 4 5 6 7 8 Have a 9 10 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% 86 12.1% 28.6% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 12.5% 31.3% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 8 Have a | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision Outcome STAR % change by Key Unlocking | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% 86 12.1% 28.6% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 12.5% | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% 37.8% 86 9.8% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% 86 11.5% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 11.2% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% 86 10.1% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% >30% 86 Positive | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 8 Have a 9 10 11 | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision Outcome STAR % change by Key Unlocking | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% 86 12.1% 28.6% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 12.5% 31.3% LEARNING | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% 37.8% 86 9.8% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% 86 11.5% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 11.2% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% 86 10.1% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% >30% 86 Positive | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 8 Have a 9 10 11 12 A small | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision Outcome STAR % change by Key Unlocking WEMWBS % change for Key Unlocking | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% 86 12.1% 28.6% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 12.5% 31.3% LEARNING | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% 37.8% 86 9.8% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% 86 11.5% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 11.2% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% 86 10.1% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% >30% 86 Positive | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 8 Have a 9 10 11 12 A small | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision Outcome STAR % change by Key Unlocking WEMWBS % change for Key Unlocking | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% 86 12.1% 28.6% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 12.5% 31.3% LEARNING | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% 37.8% 86 9.8% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% 86 11.5% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 11.2% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% 86 10.1% | ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% >30% 86 Positive | | | |
| Improve 4 5 6 7 8 Have a 9 10 11 12 A small | Responsive repairs satisfaction Arrears service satisfaction New tenant satisfaction Telecare satisfaction Complaints responded in timescale positive impact on communities Outcome STAR % change by Progress Futures No. of Homeless service unit provision Outcome STAR % change by Key Unlocking WEMWBS % change for Key Unlocking fall in employee sickness can be seen this quarter and slightly ending employer | 87.3% 90.9% 93.1% 96.9% 86.7% 24.1% 86 12.1% 28.6% | 80.1% 59.1% 94.4% 97.0% 91.3% 40.6% 86 12.5% 31.3% LEARNING | 81.6% 88.9% 96.2% 96.0% 85.0% 37.8% 86 9.8% 36.5% | 85.6% 88.9% 91.7% 96.2% 82.9% 34.8% 86 11.5% 29.9% | 83.6% 77.4% 92.6% 94.6% 84.5% 32.8% 86 11.2% 35.7% | 84.4% 78.8% 89.8% 95.8% 87.2% 32.2% 86 10.1% 23.1% | ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↓ | 89.5% 85.0% 93.5% 97.0% 95.0% >30% 86 Positive Change | | | |

The Group's development plan is slightly below target and is detailed in the main report. The number of people supported by Technology Enabled Care and Support has fallen this quarter by 1326 connections.

| Grow th | e organisation | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|
| 16 | Projected completions Vs target | 232 | 144 | 251 | 217 | 204 | 176 | 4 | 200 |
| 17 | No.supported by Technology Enabled Care & Support | 68,845 | 66,891 | 62,431 | 61,157 | 61,550 | 60,224 | + | 70,000 |
| PROCESS. | | | | | | | | | |

GROWTH

Current tenant arrears have improved this quarter to be within target. Repair-related metrics are showing improvement but the Bell contract is still impacting on the failure to hit our year-end targets. Both Void rent losses and void rent loss voids paid by others are showing positive movement and have reached target.

| Reduce a | rrears and bad debts | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| 18 | Current tenant arrears | 4.2% | 5.0% | 4.6% | 5.2% | 6.3% | 4.6% | 1 | 4.7% |
| 19 | Former tenant arrears | 1.0% | 0.8% | 1.1% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 0.8% | ↑ | 0.9% |
| 20 | Rent debit written off | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.04% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.4% | V | 0.5% |
| Improve t | the quality of our homes | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Appointments kept *** | 93.7% | 94.0% | 93.2% | 93.5% | 93.7% | 94.0% | ^ | 95.0% |
| 22 | Repairs completed in time **** | 92.2% | 75.3% | 73.0% | 72.0% | 73.9% | 77.5% | 1 | 95.0% |
| 23 | Repairs right first time - Tenant view | 84.2% | 75.0% | 76.8% | 80.9% | 79.4% | 79.6% | 1 | 85.2% |
| 24 | Planned works completed ***** | 1962 / 2523 | 2049 / 2866 | 2,426 | 2,466 | 2,466 | 1,942 | V | 2,404 |
| 25 | SAP | 71.72 | 73.20 | 72.21 | 72.35 | 72.36 | 72.49 | ↑ | 72.70 |
| Reduce t | he voids within our communities | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Void rent lost | 6.2% | 6.8% | 7.0% | 7.1% | 7.0% | 7.0% | \leftrightarrow | 7.2% |
| 27 | Void rent lost less voids paid by others**** | 4.6% | 5.8% | 6.4% | 6.2% | 6.1% | 5.9% | ↑ | 5.9% |

Performance is the same as last quarter
Performance is worse than target
Performance is the same as last quarter
Performance is worse than target
Performance is the same as last quarter
Performance is worse than target
Performance is wors ↑ = Performance is better than last quarter \leftrightarrow = Performance is the same as last quarter \downarrow = Performance is worse than last quarter N/A = Performance not comparable

^{*} This the number of people assisted into training, work placement, education and employment
*** These indicators monitor the performance of our internal maintenance workforce only

^{*****} Planned works (Quarterly) (Forecast): the forecast for the third quarted is not available yet. The value included relates to the second quarter.

Figures here are cumulative. Responsive repairs satisfaction has shown a small rise and is still below target. Issues were still evident with out-of-area repairs and the Bed contract. Satisfaction survey results are showing a rise this quarter but is calculated from a low response rate. Complaints responded in timescale is not achieving target but is again showing a rise this quarter. A number of actions to improve performance in this area were recently undertake

A small fall in employee sickness can be seen this quarter and slightly exceeds our target of 4%.

Concert Living annual profit / loss before tax and interest (fcast)

The Group's development plan is slightly below target and is detailed in the main report. The number of people supported by Technology Enabled Care and Support has fallen this quarter by 1326 connections.

Current tenant arrears have improved this quarter to be within target. Repair-related metrics are showing improvement but the Bell contract is still impacting on the failure to hit our year-end targets. Both Yold rent losses and void rent loss voids paid by others are showing positive movement and have reached target.



| | | PI | ROCESS | SECT | ON BY | ACTIVITY T | ГҮРЕ | | | _ | |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|------|
| Business Stream | Main activity type | Activity type | 2020 2021 | 2021 2022 | 2022 2023 | April to June 2023 | April to Sept 2023 | April to Dec 2023 | 2023 2024 | Annual Trend | Tarç |
| | Current Tenant Arrears | Progress Homes | 2.6% | 2.4% | 2.5% | 3.3% | 3.7% | 4.2% | 2.1% | ↑ | 4.4 |
| | | General needs | 3.0% | 2.7% | 2.6% | 3.4% | 3.8% | 4.3% | 2.3% | ↑ | 5.1 |
| | | Independent | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.6% | 1.9% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 0.6% | ↑ | 2.0 |
| | Former Tenant Arrears | Progress Homes | 1.5% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.5% | 1.2% | 1.2% | 0.9% | 1 | 1.4 |
| | | General needs | 1.6% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.4% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 0.8% | 1 | 1.6 |
| | | Independent | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.3% | 1 | 0.5 |
| Progress | Rent debit written off | Progress Homes | 0.7% | 0.5% | 0.3% | 0.03% | 0.03% | 0.1% | 0.5% | ↑ | 0.5 |
| Homes | Rent debit writterr on | Independent | 0.5% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.01% | 0.06% | 0.1% | 0.3% | ↑ | 0.5 |
| | Void rent lost | Progress Homes | 1.1% | 1.1% | 0.9% | 0.8% | 0.9% | 0.9% | 1.0% | V | 0.9 |
| | | General needs | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.6% | 0.6% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | \leftrightarrow | 0.6 |
| | | Independent | 0.8% | 1.1% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.6% | 0.7% | V | 1.0 |
| | Void rent lost less voids paid by others**** | Progress Homes | 1.0% | 1.0% | 0.9% | 0.8% | 0.8% | 0.8% | 0.9% | ↑ | 8.0 |
| | | General needs | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.6% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | \leftrightarrow | 0.6 |
| | | Independent | 0.8% | 1.1% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.6% | 0.7% | ↑ | 1.0 |
| | Current Tenant Arrears (P |) | 5.3% | 5.6% | 7.0% | 5.5% | 6.3% | 7.9% | 6.7% | 1 | 6.0 |
| | Former Tenant Arrears | | 0.4% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.6% | 0.6% | 0.7% | 0.7% | \leftrightarrow | 0.5 |
| RWP | Rent debit written off | | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.17% | 0.3% | 0.4% | V | 0.5 |
| | Void rent lost | | 11.1% | 9.9% | 10.7% | 10.9% | 10.8% | 10.5% | 10.4% | ↑ | 10.6 |
| | Void rent lost less voids p | aid by others**** | 7.9% | 7.0% | 8.9% | 9.9% | 9.4% | 9.0% | 8.6% | 1 | 9.0 |